



5 Underwood Court, Delran, New Jersey 08075-1229
856-461-4003 • 215-238-0338 • Fax 856-461-4916

SITE ASSESSMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

EPA CONTRACT 68-S5-
265003

25 April 2000

Mr. Mike Towle (3HS31)
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

TDD No. 0001-0090A
DCN F0000191

Subject: 12th Street Landfill Site - Evaluation of Toxicity of Soil Samples to the Earthworm (*Eisenia foetida*).

Dear Mr. Towle:

Roy F. Weston, Inc. (WESTON) conducted a review of the Evaluation of Toxicity of Soil Samples to the Earthworm (*Eisenia foetida*) prepared by Envirosystems, Inc. and corresponding analytical data for the 12th Street Landfill Site (Site). Five soil samples were collected and analyzed for target analyte list metals and used to conduct 14-day chronic exposure toxicity tests for the earthworm (*Eisenia foetida*). Sample locations are illustrated in Attachment 1, Sample Location Map. WESTON has reviewed all toxicity and analytical data and submits the following summary of results.

Controls

Two controls were used in this soil bioassay. Laboratory Control A was an artificial soil consisting of screened sphagnum peat moss, kaolinite clay, and fine silica sand. Laboratory Control B consisted of commercially available compost. The mean survival of Laboratory A (74%) failed the 90% survival requirement under American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) 1676-95. This is discussed further in the Uncertainties section of this report. Laboratory Control B (93% survival) passed the ASTM 1676-95 survival requirement, since this is the same soil type (compost) used for growing test worms. However, Laboratory Control B should not be considered an acceptable field control or background station because the soil type is significantly different than the sample soil types. Laboratory Control B suggests that the test earthworms were healthy and mortality in sample soils was not associated with any pre-existing conditions in the worms. Sample BG-04 was selected by SATA as a background station to compare with areas of known contamination. The only control or reference sample for which analytical data exists is BG-04.

Roy F. Weston, Inc.

FEDERAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

In Association with Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation; Resource Applications, Inc.; C.C. Johnson & Malhotra, P.C.; and PRC Environmental Management, Inc.

AR100495

Mr. Mike Towle, OSC
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
25 April 2000

Mean Survival Results

The Evaluation of Toxicity of Soil Samples to the Earthworm, *Eisenia foetida*, March 2000 is included as Attachment 2. The mean survival results for samples SS-34 (72%) and SS-35 (72%) differ significantly from Laboratory Control B (93%). These results suggest that contaminants in samples SS-34 and SS-35 may be responsible for approximately 21% mortality. It is important to note that these results do not differ significantly from the background (BG-04) survival results (83%). In addition, samples SS-36 and SS-37 show similar survival results when compared to BG-04.

Analytical Results:

Analytical results for all samples used in this bioassay are included in Attachment 3, Analytical Summary. WESTON reviewed all analytical data to identify compounds that exceeded background concentrations. Compounds that WESTON selected as contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) include arsenic, chromium, copper, and lead. WESTON reviewed these results to compare concentrations of COPCs with mean survival results. Table 1 includes the mean survival results and COPC concentrations.

Table 1
Results Summary Table

Compound	SS-34	SS-35	SS-36	SS-37	BG-04
Mean Survival (%)	72	72	84	85	83
Arsenic	73.9	114	18.9	17.4	13.8
Chromium	298	289	121	45.4	49.6
Copper	2,990	405	1,080	60.4	28.7
Lead	7,350	13,400	22,600	566	29.2

All data are reported in milligrams per kilogram, unless otherwise noted.

Uncertainties

Several uncertainties should be addressed for this bioassay. It is difficult to conclude that contaminants are primarily responsible for significant differences in survival when conducting toxicity tests. Several variables can influence the behavior and survival of test organisms (worms) in soil. Soil types are a significant parameter to address when conducting toxicity tests, because worms grow and behave differently in different soil types and not all soil samples are necessarily the same soil type.

Other factors that can introduce uncertainty are associated with contaminant uptake by the test organism. Organic content can introduce uncertainty because it affects the bioavailability of contaminants. Contaminant uptake is also affected by the species of the chemical of concern. Several metals can exist in numerous forms and may only be bioavailable in some of them. The speciation of metals often requires extremely high concentrations, that are well above the levels detected at this site.

Mr. Mike Towle, OSC
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
25 April 2000

Identifying a single concentration of a single contaminant to attribute all differences in survival data is a difficult task and often involves the collection of numerous samples. The cumulative effects of contaminants are often potentially responsible for differences in survival data.

The significant difference between the results of the laboratory controls (A and B) introduces uncertainty to the use of a reference sample when evaluating the results of this test. The difference may have been caused by the inability of test worms to live in or adapt to the artificial soil in Laboratory Control A. Because test worm survival was higher in three site samples (SS-36, SS-37, BG-04) than Laboratory Control A it is likely that the soil type rather than pre-existing conditions in test organisms was responsible for the difference. Laboratory Control B had significantly higher survival results, due to the fact that the soil type (compost) was the same soil used to grow the test worms. Because of these results and the uncertainty associated with them, WESTON recommends the use of the background sample (BG-04) when determining remedial objectives for the site.

Conclusions

WESTON reviewed all toxicity and analytical data to determine if compounds in site soil could be responsible for mortality to test worms. The percent survival was compared with concentrations of COPCs for all samples to determine if any trends existed. WESTON observed that increasing concentrations of arsenic and chromium coincided with decreasing survival percentages. Lead and copper were included as COPCs due to their high concentrations in samples SS-34, SS-35, and SS-36. A comparison of samples SS-35 and SS-36 suggests that lead and copper are not primarily responsible for decreasing survival percentages. Sample SS-35 has lower concentrations of lead and copper, and a lower survival percentage than SS-34.

Table 1 shows the concentration ranges of arsenic and chromium as well as survival differences for all samples. A comparison of samples SS-34 and SS-35 with samples SS-36 and SS-37 reveals that survival differences occurred between concentration ranges of arsenic (18.9 - 73.9 mg/kg) and chromium (121 - 289 mg/kg). These ranges represent no observed adverse effect levels (NOAELs) and lowest observed adverse effect levels (LOAELs). NOAELs are typically the highest concentrations for which contaminants have no effect on test organisms and LOAELs are the lowest concentrations for which contaminants have an observed effect on test organisms. Table 2 summarizes the NOAELs and LOAELs based on analytical and toxicity data for this site.

Mr. Mike Towle, OSC
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
25 April 2000

Table 2
Observed Effect Levels

Compound	NOAEL	LOAEL
Arsenic	18.9	73.9
Chromium	121	289

All data are reported in milligrams per kilogram.

These values should only be used within the context of this test and the uncertainties addressed in the previous section. Identifying a single concentration of a single contaminant to attribute all significant survival differences would be difficult for this test, given the results. However, the values in Table 2 can be used as a guide for determining remedial objectives for the site.

References:

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 1996. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Volume 11.05 Standard Guide for Conducting a Laboratory Soil Toxicity Test with the Lumbricid Earthworm Eisenia foetida. Standard: E1676-95. Philadelphia, PA.

If you have any questions regarding the results of the toxicity test or this report I can be reached at (732) 417-5883.

Sincerely,

Sriatmawati Wilson, Sr.

Richard Flack
Biologist

Attachments: (3)

cc: TDD File

SATA0312thstreetworms.doc

ATTACHMENT 1
SAMPLE LOCATION MAP


AR100499



FEDERAL
PROGRAMS
DIVISION

12th Street Landfill Site
Wilmington, DE

TDD No. 0001-0090A
Contract No. 68-S5-3002




Site Location



Attachment 1 Sample Location Map

AR100500

Source: USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Quadrangles
Wilmington South, DE- NJ, 1993



ATTACHMENT 2
TOXICITY REPORT

AR100501

ESI

EnviroSystems, Inc.
P.O. Box 778, One Lulycle Road
Lakewood, N.H. 03843 (603)
(603) 926-3345 • (603) 926-3521 fax
Email ESI @ www.envirosystems.com

March 29, 2000

VIA FAX - 856-451-4916

Ms. Marian Murphy
Roy F. Weston, Incorporated
Federal Programs Division
5 Underwood Court
Delran, NJ 08075-1229

Subject: U.S. EPA Project 0002-L01

Dear Ms. Murphy:

The toxicity evaluation using the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida* ended yesterday. Please find attached a summary of the survival data. The artificial soil control replicates failed to meet the minimum test acceptability criteria of 90% survival. However, this time we also included an organic compost control which exceeded the minimum survival requirements. Unless otherwise stated, all statistical comparisons will be done against the organic compost control. We feel this control more closely matches the test soil characteristics.

The final report will be delivered to your attention by no later than Friday, April 7, 2000.

Please do not hesitate to call should you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Christine Emery
Christine Emery
Laboratory Manager

Attachment

AR100502

ESI STUDY NUMBER : 8505
CLIENT: ROY F. WESTON
PROJECT NAME: 0002-L01
TEST DATE: MARCH 14, 2000 - MARCH 28, 2000
Eisenia fetida SURVIVAL

REPLICATE		Artificial Soil	Organic Compost	S5-34	S5-35	S5-36	BG-04	S5-37
A	100%	80%	90%	80%	70%	70%	80%	100%
B	40%	80%	70%	70%	90%	90%	100%	
C	60%	100%	60%	80%	100%	90%	90%	70%
D	80%	90%	60%	100%	70%	90%	90%	100%
E	80%	80%	70%	80%	80%	100%	100%	70%
F	90%	100%	70%	60%	80%	90%	90%	90%
G	60%	100%	70%	70%	80%	70%	70%	80%
H	70%	80%	80%	50%	100%	100%	100%	80%
I	80%	100%	80%	60%	90%	70%	70%	60%
J	80%	100%	70%	70%	80%	70%	80%	
MEAN	74.0%	93.0%	72.0%	72.0%	84.0%	85.0%	83.0%	
SURVIVAL								

AR100503

**EVALUATION OF TOXICITY
OF SOIL SAMPLES TO THE
EARTHWORM, *Eisenia fetida*
MARCH 2000**

U.S. EPA Project 0002-L01

Prepared For

**Roy F. Weston, Incorporated
Federal Programs Division
5 Underwood Court
Delran, NJ 08075-1229**

By

**EnviroSystems, Incorporated
1 Lafayette Road
Hampton, New Hampshire 03842**

**March 2000
Reference Number RFW 8505-00-03**

AR100504

STUDY NUMBER 8505**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The following summarizes the results of chronic exposure bioassays during March 2000 on soil samples provided by Roy F. Weston, Incorporated of Delran, New Jersey. Soils were evaluated using the earthworm, *Eisenia foetida*.

Station Number	ESI Suffix	Exposure (Days)	Mean Survival (%)	Significantly Different from Laboratory Control - B?
Laboratory Control - A (Artificial Soil)	-	14	74.0	-
Laboratory Control - B (Organic Compost)	-	14	93.0	-
"SS-34"	-1	14	72.0	Yes
"SS-35"	-2	14	72.0	Yes
"SS-36"	-3	14	84.0	No
"SS-37"	-4	14	85.0	No
"BG-04"	-5	14	83.0	No

Authorized Signature:

EnviroSystems, Incorporated

3/31/2000
Date

AR100505

**EVALUATION OF TOXICITY OF SOIL SAMPLES
TO THE EARTHWORM, *Eisenia foetida*
MARCH 2000**

U.S. EPA Project 0002-L01

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Toxicity tests expose groups of organisms to environmental samples and a laboratory control for a specified period to assess potential impact on survival and growth. The data are used to determine the relative toxicity of samples as compared to field reference sample sites.

This report presents the results of toxicity tests on five (5) soil samples provided by Roy F. Weston, Incorporated, Delran, New Jersey. Biological testing was based on programs and protocols developed by the US EPA (1989) and ASTM (1996). The toxicity of the samples was determined by conducting 14 day exposure assays with the earthworm, *Eisenia foetida*. All assays were performed at EnviroSystems, Incorporated (ESI), Hampton, New Hampshire.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 General Methods, Biological Evaluations

Toxicological and analytical protocols used in this program follow procedures outlined in *Protocol for Short Term Toxicity Screening of Hazardous Waste Sites* (EPA 1989), *Aquatic Toxicology and Risk Assessment: Volume 11.05* (ASTM 1996) and *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (APHA 1991). These protocols provide standard approaches for physical and chemical analysis and for the evaluation of toxicological effects of soils to terrestrial organisms.

2.2 Test Species

Earthworms (*E. foetida*) were obtained from a single commercial supply source, Happy D Ranch Worm Farm, Visalia, California. Worms were maintained in composted soil. During culture and acclimation, worms were fed a commercially prepared ration identified as "Magic Worm Food." Temperature during culture was maintained at approximately 20°C. Worms used in the assay were adults with a well-developed clitellum.

Roy F. Weston, Incorporated, U.S. EPA Project 0002 -L01, March 2000.
ESI Study Number 8505.

AR 100506

2.3 Test Samples

A total of five (5) soil samples were received for testing on February 15, 2000. Samples were identified as "SS-34," "SS-35," "SS-36," "SS-37" and "BG-04." Samples were collected on February 14, 2000 and placed in 5 gallon plastic buckets. After the samples were received they were stored at 4°C in a secure sample storage area. Samples were warmed to the appropriate test temperature prior to testing.

2.4 *Eisenia foetida* Toxicological Evaluation Protocol

2.4.1 Sample Preparation

At the time of receipt all samples were visually inspected and the presence of "Free Water or standing water in the sample noted. Samples containing free water were air dried, at room temperature for 24 hours to reduce excess water, prior to further analysis. Prior to testing, moisture content, water holding capacity and pH were measured on each sample. Samples were then identified as to those that needed adjustments (drying or addition of water) to achieve a moisture content equal to approximately 70% of the soil's water holding capacity.

All samples were sieved through a 6-mm stainless steel screen to remove large stones, sticks, roots, and man-made material. Prior to placement in the test chambers, the moisture content of the sieved samples was adjusted, if necessary. At this time, the pH of the soil was adjusted if the pH of the sample was outside the range of 4 to 10 SU.

Two soils were used as laboratory controls in the earthworm assays. The first was an artificial soil prepared according to protocol developed by the EPA (1989). The soil consisted of (by dry weight) 10% screened sphagnum peat moss, 20% kaolinite clay and 70% fine silica sand. The silica sand was classified as 200 mesh. The peat moss was blended prior to use to break-up clods. After blending, the peat moss was screened to remove any large sticks and twigs. The moisture content of the soil was adjusted to approximately 30% using moderately hard reconstituted water. The pH of the soil was checked to insure values were within the range of 4 to 10 SU.

The second laboratory control soil was a commercially available organic compost. The compost, identified as "Coobscook Organic Compost and Planting Soil," is prepared by Coast of Maine, Incorporated of Portland, Maine. The product is described as being prepared from sphagnum peat moss, stone dust and seaweed. The compost has an organic content of 70.57%. The compost was used as a culture media for the *Eisenia* cultures.

2.4.2 Assay Protocol - 14 Day

The earthworm 14 day assay was conducted in a static exposure mode. Survival was the endpoint. The assay utilized 10 replicates with 10 worms per replicate. Approximately 200 g of soil were added to 600 mL glass jars. The jars were covered with lids in which a small hole, 1.6 mm diameter, had been placed to allow ventilation. Containers were placed in an incubator at 20 ±2°C. Lighting was set at 24 hours illumination. Light intensity was approximately 50 foot candles. During the exposure period incubator temperature was checked daily and monitored hourly using a data logger, for the duration of the assay. The worms were not fed during the assay. Daily observations were made concerning sublethal effects. After the counting on day 14 all worms were discarded.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Survival and growth data were analyzed using TOXSTAT® software to determine potential significant differences between the treatment and background control. Data sets were evaluated to determine homogeneity of sample variances and normality. Data sets were normally distributed with homogeneous variances and were evaluated using parametric statistical models. Statistical differences were evaluated at $\alpha=0.05$. Results were noted as statistically different only when the results indicated a potential negative impact as compared to the Organic Compost control.

2.6 Reference Toxicant Evaluation

As part of the laboratory quality control program, reference toxicant evaluations were conducted with the test species used in the assay. These results provide relative health and response data while allowing for comparison with historic data sets. A cadmium chloride reference toxicant assay conducted on February 25, 2000 resulted in a 96 hour EC-50 of 8.24 mg/L (Binomial Method), as cadmium. This value was within two standard deviations of the historic mean for the species.

2.7 Protocol Deviations

Review of data associated with the conduct of the project identified no deviations from the study protocol. Review of data associated with the artificial soil laboratory control treatment suggest that the low pH, within the range specified by the ASTM and EPA protocols, in conjunction with the relatively organic content may have been responsible for the low survival. As survival in the culture media control exceeded minimum acceptability criteria the test organisms were deemed to be healthy and have had no impact on the outcome of the assay.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 provides a summary of survival and growth data for the 14 day exposure period. Table 2 provides a summary of sample collection and receipt information. Percent moisture, water holding capacity and pH measurements are summarized in Table 3. Support data, including copies of laboratory bench sheets and statistical support, are included in Appendix A.

Roy F. Weston, Incorporated, U.S. EPA Project 0002 -L01, March 2000.
ESI Study Number 8505.

Temperatures monitored hourly in the test incubator during the 14 day exposure period ranged from 18.96 to 21.48°C with a mean value of 19.34°C. The standard deviation and variance for the data set were determined to be 0.178 and 0.032°C, respectively. The coefficient of variation was determined to be 0.9% during the 14 day period. The initial loading rate for the 14-day study was determined to be 13.5 g/Kg. This value was within the limit of 25 g/Kg specified by the method.

3.1 *Eisenia foetida* 14-Day Exposure Data

Review of the data at the end of the 14-day exposure period showed there was 74% survival in the artificial soil control and 93% survival in the organic compost laboratory control soil / culture media. Data from the organic compost control indicates that the test organisms were healthy and not impacted by handling.

Survival in both the "SS-34" soil and "SS-35" soil was 72.0%. Survival was 84% in "SS-36," 85% in "BG-04," and 83% in "SS-37." Review of the data showed that survival in samples "SS-34" and "SS-35" was significantly different from survival in the organic compost control. None of the samples were significantly different from the artificial soil control. Visual observation made during the counting showed no sublethal effects in any of the treatments.

3.2 Summary

Data collected after 14 days exposure showed that the "SS-34" and "SS-35" soil samples showed significant impact on the survival of the worms when compared to the organic compost control. Samples "SS-36," "SS-37" and "BG-04" showed no significant reduction in survival when compared to the organic compost control.

4.0 LITERATURE CITED

APHA. 1991. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 18th edition. Washington D.C.

ASTM. 1996. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Volume 11.05. *Standard Guide for Conducting a Laboratory Soil Toxicity Test with the Lumbricid Earthworm Eisenia foetida*. Standard: E1676-95. ASTM, Philadelphia.

US EPA. 1989. *Protocol for Short Term Toxicity Screening of Hazardous Waste Sites*. EPA/600/3-88/029.

Roy F. Weston, Incorporated, U.S. EPA Project 0002 -L01, March 2000.
ESI Study Number 8505.

AR100509

**TABLE 1. Summary of Earthworm (*Elisenia foetida*) Survival Data.
U.S. EPA Project 0002 - L01. March 2000.**

Station Number	ESI Suffix	Exposure (Days)	Mean Survival (%)	Coefficient of Variation (%)	Significantly Different from Laboratory Control - B?
Laboratory Control - A (Artificial Soil)	-	14	74.0	2.20	-
Laboratory Control - B (Culture Media / Compost)	-	14	93.0	0.84	-
"SS-34"	-1	14	72.0	1.21	Yes
"SS-35"	-2	14	72.0	1.84	Yes
"SS-36"	-3	14	84.0	1.21	No
"SS-37"	-4	14	85.0	1.32	No
"BG-04"	-5	14	83.0	1.62	No

Roy F. Weston, Incorporated, U.S. EPA Project 0002 -L01, March 2000.
ESI Study Number 8505.

AR100510

**TABLE 2. Sample Collection and Receipt Summary.
U.S. EPA Project 0002 - L01. March 2000.**

Station Number	ESI Suffix	Collected		Received		Free Water on Receipt?
		Date	Time	Date	Time	
"SS-34"	-1	02/14/00	1110	02/15/00	0930	No
"SS-35"	-2	02/14/00	1120	02/15/00	0930	Yes*
"SS-36"	-3	02/14/00	1130	02/15/00	0930	Yes*
"SS-37"	-4	02/14/00	1200	02/15/00	0930	No
"BG-04"	-5	02/14/00	1145	02/15/00	0930	No

**TABLE 3. Summary of Physical and Chemical Characteristics.
U.S. EPA Project 0002 - L01. March 2000.**

Station Number	ESI Suffix	% Moisture	Holding Capacity (mL H ₂ O/100g)	Soil pH (SU)
Artificial Soil	-	1.95	60.6	5.00
Organic Compost	-	34.31	80.5	5.78
"SS-34"	-1	31.57	58.5	7.65
"SS-35"	-2	20.85	55.9	7.93
"SS-36"	-3	25.78	40.5	7.87
"SS-37"	-4	24.01	47.0	7.46
"BG-04"	-5	19.74	60.0	5.58

COMMENTS:

* Samples with "Free Water" on receipt were air dried before % Moisture was calculated.

Roy F. Weston, Incorporated, U.S. EPA Project 0002 -L01, March 2000.
ESI Study Number 8505.

AR100511

TABLE 4. Summary of Reference Toxicant Data.
U.S. EPA Project 0002 - L01. March 2000.

Results are Expressed as mg/L Cadmium

Species	LC-50	Historic Mean	Number of Tests	±1 STD Deviation	±2 STD Deviations
<i>Eisenia foetida</i>	8.24	162.3	4	126.4	252.7

Roy F. Weston, Incorporated, U.S. EPA Project 0002 -L01, March 2000.
ESI Study Number 8505.

AR100512

APPENDIX A
RAW DATA - BIOASSAY SUPPORT

AR100513

Eisenia foetida Acute Assay

STUDY NUMBER: 8505
 CLIENT: Roy F. Weston

DAY 0:

REP	CONTROLS				TEST SEDIMENTS					
	ARTIFICIAL SOIL	ORGANIC COMPOST	8505-1	8505-2	8505-3	8505-4	8505-5			
A	10	2.3	10	2.9	10	2.6	10	2.7	10	2.5
B	10	2.4	10	2.9	10	2.8	10	2.8	10	2.5
C	10	2.6	10	3.0	10	3.0	10	3.3	10	2.5
D	10	2.9	10	3.2	10	3.0	10	3.1	10	2.5
E	10	2.8	10	3.4	10	2.8	10	2.3	10	2.6
F	10	3.0	10	2.3	10	2.9	10	3.2	10	2.9
G	10	3.2	10	2.5	10	2.6	10	2.6	10	2.1
H	10	2.8	10	3.2	10	3.0	10	2.9	10	2.5
I	10	3.4	10	2.2	10	3.0	10	2.3	10	2.5
J	10	3.3	10	2.7	10	2.7	10	2.1	10	1.6
Initials	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)
Date	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01	3/14/01

NOTES: incub temp 22°C at start

avg weight initial = 2.79 g per vessel

AR100514

STUDY NUMBER: 8505
 CLIENT: Roy F. Weston

Eisenia foetida Acute Assay

DAY 14:

REP	CONTROLS				TEST SEDIMENTS				8505-5					
	ARTIFICIAL SOIL	ORGANIC COMPOST	8505-1	8505-2	8505-3	8505-4	8505-5	# Alive	Comments	# Alive	Comments	# Alive	Comments	
A	10	3	9	3	9	3	8	3	7	3	8	5	10	3
B	4	2	8	3.4	7	3	7	3	9	3	9	5.3	10	3
C	4	3	10	3	10	3	8	3	10	3	9	5.3	7	3
D	8	3	9	3.4	6	3	10	3	9	3	9	5.3	10	3
E	8	2	9	3	7	3	8	3	9	3	9	5.3	10	3
F	9	1	10	3	4	3	6	3	8	5.3	10	5.3	7	3
G	6	1	10	3	7	3	7	3.4	8	3	7	5.3	9	3
H	7	3	8	3	9	3	5	2	10	3	10	5.3	8	3
I	8	1	10	3	8	3	6	2	9	3	4	5.3	8	3
J	9	2	10	3	7	3	4	3	8	3	7	5.3	6	3
Initials	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	(initials)	
Date	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	1/16/05	

NOTES: 1: lethargic 6: odor
 2: soil very wet
 3: survivors very pecky
 4: carcasses present
 5: seedlings present

AR100515

Eisenia foetida Bioaccumulation Assay
 STUDY NUMBER: 8505R CLIENT: Roy F. Weston

DAY	TEMP °C	INIT.	GENERAL OBSERVATIONS
0	22	xw	worms in, lights on
1	21	xw	Squirrel reading 19.5°C, lights + worms O.K.
2	22	xw	Squirrel reading 19.7°C, lights OK -4 hours readings
3	21	xw	Squirrel reading 19.4°C, lights + worms O.K.
4	21	LL	Squirrel @ 19.6°C, lights+UDMS OK
5	21	CW	Squirrel @ 19.2°C, lights + worms OK
6	21	LL	Squirrel @ 19.3°C, lights+UDMS OK
7	21	z	Squirrel @ 19.5°C; lights+worms OK
8	21	LL	Squirrel @ 19.3°C; lights+UDMS OK
9		z	Squirrel @ 19.2°C; lights + worms OK
10	21	xw	Squirrel @ 19.4°C, lights + worms O.K.
11	21	BB	Squirrel @ 19.3°C, lights + worms O.K.
12	21	LL	Squirrel @ 19.4 lights+UDMS OK.
13	21	LL	Squirrel @ 19.3 lights+UDMS OK
14	21	xw	Squirrel @ 19.7°C, lights around, OK

AR100516

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
 File: 8505sv

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

ANOVA Table

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	6	0.6528	0.1088	4.0756
Within (Error)	63	1.6819	0.0267	
Total	69	2.3347		

(p-value = 0.0016

Critical F = 3.1028 (alpha = 0.01, df = 6,63)
 = 2.2464 (alpha = 0.05, df = 6,63)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.05)

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
 File: 8505sv

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Dunnett's Test - TABLE 1 OF 2

Ho: Control < Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	TRANS T STAT	S
1	COMPOST	1.3022	0.9300		
2	ARTIFICIAL	1.0538	0.7400	3.3992	*
3	SS-34	1.0191	0.7200	3.8733	*
4	SS-35	1.0264	0.7200	3.7731	*
5	SS-36	1.1733	0.8400	1.7634	
6	BG-04	1.1901	0.8500	1.5337	
7	SS-37	1.1675	0.8300	1.8429	

Dunnett critical value = 2.3400 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df [used] = 6,6
 (Actual df = 6,6

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
 File: 8505sv

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Dunnett's Test - TABLE 2 OF 2

Ho: Control < Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% OF CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTR
1	COMPOST	10			
2	ARTIFICIAL	10	0.1107	11.9	0.190C
3	SS-34	10	0.1107	11.9	0.210C
4	SS-35	10	0.1107	11.9	0.210C
5	SS-36	10	0.1107	11.9	0.090C
6	BG-04	10	0.1107	11.9	0.080C
7	SS-37	10	0.1107	11.9	0.100C

ARI00517

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Summary Statistics on Transformed Data TABLE 1 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN
1	COMPOST	10	1.1071	1.4120	1.3022
2	ARTIFICIAL	10	0.6847	1.4120	1.0538
3	SS-34	10	0.8861	1.2490	1.0191
4	SS-35	10	0.7854	1.4120	1.0264
5	SS-36	10	0.9912	1.4120	1.1733
6	BG-04	10	0.9912	1.4120	1.1901
7	SS-37	10	0.8861	1.4120	1.1675

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Summary Statistics on Transformed Data TABLE 2 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	C.V. %
1	COMPOST	0.0161	0.1269	0.0401	9.7432
2	ARTIFICIAL	0.0416	0.2039	0.0645	19.3511
3	SS-34	0.0120	0.1094	0.0346	10.7326
4	SS-35	0.0299	0.1730	0.0547	16.8571
5	SS-36	0.0233	0.1525	0.0482	12.9975
6	BG-04	0.0264	0.1626	0.0514	13.6647
7	SS-37	0.0376	0.1939	0.0613	16.6073

AR100518

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Chi-Square Test for Normality

Actual and Expected Frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.
EXPECTED	4.6900	16.9400	26.7400	16.9400	4.69
OBSERVED	3	16	33	13	5

Chi-Square = 3.0635 (p-value = 0.5473)

Critical Chi-Square = 13.277 {alpha = 0.01 , df = 4}
= 9.488 {alpha = 0.05 , df = 4}

Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis.

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality

***** Shapiro - Wilk's Test is aborted *****

This test can not be performed because total number of replicates
is greater than 50.

Total number of replicates = 70

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Bartlett's Test for Homogeneity of Variance

Calculated B1 statistic = 4.8004 (p-value = 0.5697)

Data PASS B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Critical B = 16.8119 {alpha = 0.01, df = 6}
= 12.5916 {alpha = 0.05, df = 6}

ARI00519

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
 File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

ANOVA Table

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	6	0.6528	0.1088	4.0756
Within (Error)	63	1.6819	0.0267	
Total	69	2.3347		

(p-value = 0.0016

Critical F = 3.1028 {alpha = 0.01, df = 6,63}
 = 2.2464 {alpha = 0.05, df = 6,63}

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All equal (alpha = 0.05)

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
 File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Dunnett's Test - TABLE 1 OF 2

Ho:Control < Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	TRANS T-STAT	SC
1	artificial	1.0538	0.7400		
2	compost	1.3022	0.9300	-3.3992	
3	SS-34	1.0191	0.7200	0.4741	
4	SS-35	1.0264	0.7200	0.3739	
5	SS-36	1.1733	0.8400	-1.6359	
6	BG-04	1.1901	0.8500	-1.8655	
7	SS-37	1.1675	0.8300	-1.5564	

Dunnett critical value = 2.3400 (1 Tailed, alpha = 0.05, df [used] = 6,6
 (Actual df = 6,6

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
 File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Dunnett's Test - TABLE 2 OF 2

Ho:Control < Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% OF CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTR
1	artificial	10			
2	compost	10	0.1589	21.0	-0.190C
3	SS-34	10	0.1589	21.0	0.020C
4	SS-35	10	0.1589	21.0	0.020C
5	SS-36	10	0.1589	21.0	-0.100C
6	BG-04	10	0.1589	21.0	-0.110C
7	SS-37	10	0.1589	21.0	-0.090C

AR100520

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y

Summary Statistics on Transformed Data TABLE 1 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN
1	artificial	10	0.6847	1.4120	1.0538
2	compost	10	1.1071	1.4120	1.3022
3	SS-34	10	0.8861	1.2490	1.0191
4	SS-35	10	0.7854	1.4120	1.0264
5	SS-36	10	0.9912	1.4120	1.1733
6	BG-04	10	0.9912	1.4120	1.1901
7	SS-37	10	0.8861	1.4120	1.1675

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y

Summary Statistics on Transformed Data TABLE 2 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	C.V. %
1	artificial	0.0416	0.2039	0.0645	19.3511
2	compost	0.0161	0.1269	0.0401	9.7432
3	SS-34	0.0120	0.1094	0.0346	10.7326
4	SS-35	0.0299	0.1730	0.0547	16.8571
5	SS-36	0.0233	0.1525	0.0482	12.9975
6	BG-04	0.0264	0.1626	0.0514	13.6647
7	SS-37	0.0376	0.1939	0.0613	16.6073

AR100521

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Chi-Square Test for Normality

Actual and Expected Frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED	4.6900	16.9400	26.7400	16.9400	4.690
OBSERVED	3	16	33	13	5

Chi-Square = 3.0635 (p-value = 0.5473)

Critical Chi-Square = 13.277 {alpha = 0.01, df = 4}
= 9.488 {alpha = 0.05, df = 4}

Data PASS normality test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis.

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Shapiro - Wilk's Test for Normality

***** Shapiro - Wilk's Test is aborted *****

This test can not be performed because total number of replicates
is greater than 50.

Total number of replicates = 70

Title: 8505 RFW E. FOETIDA SURVIVAL
File: 8505sv2 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Bartlett's Test for Homogeneity of Variance

Calculated B1 statistic = 4.8004 (p-value = 0.5697)

Data PASS B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Critical B = 16.8119 {alpha = 0.01, df = 6}
= 12.5916 {alpha = 0.05, df = 6}

AR100522

Channel 1 Statistics

Sample Period

Start 24-Feb-00 18:06:00.

Finish 28-Mar-00 14:06:00.

Range : 0.000 to 30.000 degC
Minimum Value : 18.960 degC
Maximum Value : 21.480 degC
Mean : 19.343 degC
Standard Deviation : 0.178
Variance : 0.032

at 13-Mar-00 07:06:00.
at 24-Feb-00 18:06:00.

Channel Readings

Data file - 8505EP

Logger details:

Logger number : 10076
Logger type : 8-bit

Run details:

Site ID :
Run number : 1
Channels used : 1
Recording interval : 00:60:00.
Recording period :
 Start : 24-Feb-00 17:06:00.
 Finish : 29-Mar-00 14:06:00.
Readings per channel: 814

AR100523

673	23-Mar-00 17:06:00.	19.320	748	26-Mar-00 20:06:00.	19.320
674	23-Mar-00 18:06:00.	19.320	749	26-Mar-00 21:06:00.	19.320
675	23-Mar-00 19:06:00.	19.320	750	26-Mar-00 22:06:00.	19.320
676	23-Mar-00 20:06:00.	19.320	751	26-Mar-00 23:06:00.	19.320
677	23-Mar-00 21:06:00.	19.320	752	27-Mar-00 00:06:00.	19.320
678	23-Mar-00 22:06:00.	19.320	753	27-Mar-00 01:06:00.	19.320
679	23-Mar-00 23:06:00.	19.320	754	27-Mar-00 02:06:00.	19.320
680	24-Mar-00 00:06:00.	19.320	755	27-Mar-00 03:06:00.	19.320
681	24-Mar-00 01:06:00.	19.320	756	27-Mar-00 04:06:00.	19.320
682	24-Mar-00 02:06:00.	19.200	757	27-Mar-00 05:06:00.	19.320
683	24-Mar-00 03:06:00.	19.200	758	27-Mar-00 06:06:00.	19.320
684	24-Mar-00 04:06:00.	19.200	759	27-Mar-00 07:06:00.	19.320
685	24-Mar-00 05:06:00.	19.200	760	27-Mar-00 08:06:00.	19.320
686	24-Mar-00 06:06:00.	19.200	761	27-Mar-00 09:06:00.	19.320
687	24-Mar-00 07:06:00.	19.200	762	27-Mar-00 10:06:00.	19.320
688	24-Mar-00 08:06:00.	19.200	763	27-Mar-00 11:06:00.	19.320
689	24-Mar-00 09:06:00.	19.200	764	27-Mar-00 12:06:00.	19.320
690	24-Mar-00 10:06:00.	19.200	765	27-Mar-00 13:06:00.	19.440
691	24-Mar-00 11:06:00.	19.320	766	27-Mar-00 14:06:00.	19.440
692	24-Mar-00 12:06:00.	19.320	767	27-Mar-00 15:06:00.	19.440
693	24-Mar-00 13:06:00.	19.320	768	27-Mar-00 16:06:00.	19.440
694	24-Mar-00 14:06:00.	19.320	769	27-Mar-00 17:06:00.	19.440
695	24-Mar-00 15:06:00.	19.320	770	27-Mar-00 18:06:00.	19.440
696	24-Mar-00 16:06:00.	19.320	771	27-Mar-00 19:06:00.	19.440
697	24-Mar-00 17:06:00.	19.320	772	27-Mar-00 20:06:00.	19.440
698	24-Mar-00 18:06:00.	19.320	773	27-Mar-00 21:06:00.	19.440
699	24-Mar-00 19:06:00.	19.320	774	27-Mar-00 22:06:00.	19.440
700	24-Mar-00 20:06:00.	19.320	775	27-Mar-00 23:06:00.	19.440
701	24-Mar-00 21:06:00.	19.320	776	28-Mar-00 00:06:00.	19.440
702	24-Mar-00 22:06:00.	19.320	777	28-Mar-00 01:06:00.	19.440
703	24-Mar-00 23:06:00.	19.320	778	28-Mar-00 02:06:00.	19.440
704	25-Mar-00 00:06:00.	19.320	779	28-Mar-00 03:06:00.	19.440
705	25-Mar-00 01:06:00.	19.320	780	28-Mar-00 04:06:00.	19.440
706	25-Mar-00 02:06:00.	19.200	781	28-Mar-00 05:06:00.	19.440
707	25-Mar-00 03:06:00.	19.200	782	28-Mar-00 06:06:00.	19.440
708	25-Mar-00 04:06:00.	19.200	783	28-Mar-00 07:06:00.	19.440
709	25-Mar-00 05:06:00.	19.200	784	28-Mar-00 08:06:00.	19.440
710	25-Mar-00 06:06:00.	19.200	785	28-Mar-00 09:06:00.	19.560
711	25-Mar-00 07:06:00.	19.200	786	28-Mar-00 10:06:00.	19.560
712	25-Mar-00 08:06:00.	19.200	787	28-Mar-00 11:06:00.	19.560
713	25-Mar-00 09:06:00.	19.200	788	28-Mar-00 12:06:00.	19.440
714	25-Mar-00 10:06:00.	19.320	789	28-Mar-00 13:06:00.	19.560
715	25-Mar-00 11:06:00.	19.320	790	28-Mar-00 14:06:00.	19.560
716	25-Mar-00 12:06:00.	19.320			
717	25-Mar-00 13:06:00.	19.440			
718	25-Mar-00 14:06:00.	19.440			
719	25-Mar-00 15:06:00.	19.440			
720	25-Mar-00 16:06:00.	19.440			
721	25-Mar-00 17:06:00.	19.440			
722	25-Mar-00 18:06:00.	19.440			
723	25-Mar-00 19:06:00.	19.440			
724	25-Mar-00 20:06:00.	19.440			
725	25-Mar-00 21:06:00.	19.440			
726	25-Mar-00 22:06:00.	19.440			
727	25-Mar-00 23:06:00.	19.440			
728	26-Mar-00 00:06:00.	19.440			
729	26-Mar-00 01:06:00.	19.440			
730	26-Mar-00 02:06:00.	19.440			
731	26-Mar-00 03:06:00.	19.440			
732	26-Mar-00 04:06:00.	19.440			
733	26-Mar-00 05:06:00.	19.440			
734	26-Mar-00 06:06:00.	19.440			
735	26-Mar-00 07:06:00.	19.440			
736	26-Mar-00 08:06:00.	19.440			
737	26-Mar-00 09:06:00.	19.440			
738	26-Mar-00 10:06:00.	19.440			
739	26-Mar-00 11:06:00.	19.440			
740	26-Mar-00 12:06:00.	19.440			
741	26-Mar-00 13:06:00.	19.440			
742	26-Mar-00 14:06:00.	19.440			
743	26-Mar-00 15:06:00.	19.440			
744	26-Mar-00 16:06:00.	19.320			
745	26-Mar-00 17:06:00.	19.440			
746	26-Mar-00 18:06:00.	19.320			
747	26-Mar-00 19:06:00.	19.320			

AR100527

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
ESI #: 8505R
DATE: 3/15/00
INITIALS: Keb

pH MEASUREMENTS

SAMPLE ID	Beaker ID	pH VALUES in SU at START
CONTROL - Artificial Soil	42	5.00
-1	325	7.65
-2	202	7.93
-3	200	7.87
-4	199	7.846 <small>2.4600</small>
-5	322	5.56
Compost	41	5.76
-4 Duplicate	2	7.45

NOTES:

AR100528

DOCUMENTATION FORM

STUDY No.: 8505 R STUDY DIRECTOR: _____

PROJECT TITLE: Roy F. Weston

GENERATED BY: KRB DATE: 3/13/00

The following information is

- Miscellaneous Documentation
- A Deviation from the Protocol
- A Deviation from SOP

<u>Sample ID.</u>	<u>Soil Wt. (g)</u>	<u>Water Vol. Calculated to Add (ml)</u>	<u>Water Vol. Added (ml)</u>
-1	2485.8	308 ml	150 ml
-2	3391.3 <small>(Large rocks may lower Vol. needed)</small>	721 ml	300 ml
-3	3310.2	Not Needed	One
-4	2500.9	281 ml	200 ml
-5	2174.7	549 ml	549 ml
Control	1618.5	703 ml	703 ml
Compost	1750.3	between 3077 ml <small>↓</small>	900 ml
		<small>Probably too High Due to WHC being run with old technique 3/13/00 7048</small>	

-5 - Due to Low pH 10.2 g of Calcium Carbonate was added to Sample.

APPROVED BY: _____ DATE: _____

AR100529

DOCUMENTATION FORM

STUDY No.: 8505

STUDY DIRECTOR: Ken Simon

PROJECT TITLE: Roy F. Weston - Initial Description of Sample

GENERATED BY: Karen Byrnes

DATE: 2/16/00

The following information is

- Miscellaneous Documentation
 A Deviation from the Protocol
 A Deviation from SOP

Soil Content

- 1- Good Dark Mud mixed well with clay. Moisture content Good. Some Roots present.
- 2- Standing water on top. Black muck with a lot of Large Rocks, and chunks of glass. Rocks picked out by hand, dried on Counter
- 3- Full of "Junk Yard" Hash. Rubber and Iron hose and/or Pipes, glass, Iron chunks, and random unidentifiable items. Wire also present. Mud was sifted through $\frac{1}{4}$ screen with about $\frac{1}{4}$ of sample not passing through. When pH was taken about $\frac{1}{2}$ of sample remained in the stir magnet when it was removed. Standing in Dried on Counter.
- 4- Good dark mud, well mixed with sand. Moisture Content is a little dry, may need a water adjustment.
- 5- Soil mixed with clay in Large Chunks. Very dry broken up by hand and passed through $\frac{1}{4}$, inch Screens

APPROVED BY:

DATE:

AR100530

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
 ESI #: 8505
 DATE: 2/16/00 - 2/22/00
 INITIALS: JLW/J

Pg. 1 of 2

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON BY LOSS ON IGNITION

Sample ID	Crucible ID	Crucible Weight (g)	Crucible + Pre-Ignition Wt (g)	Crucible + Post-Ignition Wt (g)	Net Ignition Weight (g)	Total Loss on Ignition (g lost per g sample)
Control	I	25.2928	35.6474	34.5624	1.0850	0.1046
-1	II	27.8938	38.4524	37.5677	0.8847	0.0834
-2	III	25.9640	36.2888	35.5529	0.7359	0.0713
-3	IV	29.0167	49.4330	48.5989	0.8841	0.0432
-4	V	29.3315	39.8932	39.1072	0.7860	0.0744
BLK	VI	58.3773	38.6486	38.6475	0.0011	0.0001
Re-check	IV	29.0167	48.5989	48.6084	④ -0.0095	④ 0.0005
Date & Initials	—	2/16/00 XEB	2/16/00 XEB	2/17/00 XEB ④ 2/22/00 XEB	2/17/00 XEB ④ 2/22/00 XEB	2/17/00 XEB ④ 2/22/00 XEB

Artificial
Soil

COMMENTS: _____

Net Ignition Weight = (Crucible + Pre-Ignition Weight) - (Crucible + Post-Ignition Weight)

Total Loss on Ignition = Net Ignition Weight
Soil Weight

Soil weight = (Crucible + Pre-Ignition Weight) - Crucible Weight

AR100531

Pg. 2 of 2

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
ESI #: 8505
DATE: _____
INITIALS: _____

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON BY LOSS ON IGNITION

Sample ID	Crucible ID	Crucible Weight (g)	Crucible + Pre-Ignition Wt (g)	Crucible + Post-Ignition Wt (g)	Net Ignition Weight (g)	Total Loss on Ignition (g lost per g sample)
-4 Osp.	I	25.2937	35.3420	34.7756	0.5664	0.0563
-5	II	27.8438	37.8570	37.0961	0.7609	0.0760
BLK	VI	28.3773	38.6475	38.6467	0.0008	0.0001
Re-check	II	27.8438	④ 37.0961	④ 37.0830	④ 0.0131	④ 0.0014
Date & Initials		2/17/00 XRS	2/17/00 ④ 2/17/00 XRS	④ 2/22/00 XRS	④ 2/22/00 XRS	④ 2/22/00 XRS

COMMENTS: -4 Osp. & Difference = 13.85%

Net Ignition Weight = (Crucible + Pre-Ignition Weight) - (Crucible + Post-Ignition Weight)

Total Loss on Ignition = Net Ignition Weight
Soil Weight

Soil weight = (Crucible + Pre-Ignition Weight) - Crucible Weight

AR100532

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
 ESI #: 8505 R

REQUIRED SOIL HYDRATION

Hydration Water To Be Added (mL/100g) = $\text{THW}_{ts} - \%M_{ts}$

$$\text{THW}_{ts} = \text{PHYD} * \text{WHC}_{ts}$$

THW_{ts} = Total Soil Hydration Water Desired in mL/100g
 PHYD = Proportion of Hydration Required (0.75)
 WHC_{ts} = Water Holding Capacity of the Test Soil in mL/100g
 $\%M_{ts}$ = %Moisture of the Test Soil (% Moisture)

SAMPLE I.D.	PHYD	WHC _{ts} (mL/100g)	THW _{ts}	%M _{ts}	Water To Be Added: THW _{ts} - %M _{ts} (mL/100g)
Control	0.75	60.6	45.45	31.57 2.0% ^{use} 100	43.45
-1	0.75	56.5	42.38	31.57 use 29.98 100	12.40
-2	0.75	55.9	41.92	20.65	21.27
-3	0.75	40.5	30.38	25.76	4.62 ^{No Adj. Nec'd.}
-4	0.75	47.0	35.25	24.01	11.24
-5	0.75	60.0	45.00	19.74	25.26
-1 Dsp.	0.75	56.5	42.38	28.39	—

NOTES: -1 Avg. Dsp. = 29.98 0.75 Dsp. = 5.308 3/13/00 XEB

Compost	0.75	247.7	185.78	103	175.78
Data from Previous test. 3/13/00 XEB			↑ probably to High due to old technique. 3/13/00 XEB		

AR100533

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
 ESI #: 8505

WATER HOLDING CAPACITY OF SOIL

$$\text{WHC (mL H}_2\text{O/100 g Soil)} = \frac{\text{Final wt (g) - Initial wt (g)}}{\text{Soil wt (g)}} \times 100$$

Initial wt (g) = Soil Dry wt (g) + Wet Filter Paper + Funnel + Cup.

Sample ID	Funnel ID	Soil Dry Wt (g)	Wet Filter Paper + Funnel + Cup (g)	Initial Wt (g)	Final Wt (g)	WHC (mL H ₂ O/100 g Soil)
Control	1	100.0	332.8	432.8	493.4	60.6
-1	2		165.5	265.5	322.0	56.5
-2	3		193.1	293.1	349.0	55.9
-3	4		60.3	160.3	200.8	40.5
-4	5		57.6	157.6	204.6	47.0
-5	6		60.2	160.2	220.2	60.0
-2 Dup.	10		57.4	157.4	205.5	48.1
Date & Initials	3/17/00 XEB	2/17/00 XEB	2/17/00 XEB	2/17/00 XEB	2/18/00 XEB	2/22/00 XEB

*2/21/00
XEB*
NOTES Control mud will not drain in 4 hrs. so to keep times consistent all soils were weighed after sitting over night. -1, -2, -3 were weighed for comparison at 3 hrs.

2/17/00	-1	323.2	57.7	
XEB	-2	355.6	62.5	-2 Dup. % Difference = 7.5%
	-3	214.0	53.7	

Sample ID	Final Wt	WHC
		2/22/00 XEB

AR100534

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
ESI #: 8505R

SOIL DRYING PROCESS

Sample I.D.	Beaker I.D.	Dry Weight #1 (g)	Dry Weight #2 (g)	Dry Weight #3 (g)
Date & Initials				

COMMENTS: _____

PERCENT MOISTURE

Sample I.D.	Beaker I.D.	Beaker Wt. (g)	Soil Wet Wt.(g)	Dry Wt. #1 (g)	Dry Wt. #2 (g)	Dry Wt. #3 (g)	% Moisture
-1	10	28.8993	39.1658	35.9246	35.9248	—	31.57
-2	2	50.16 ⁷⁸	40.3904	38.2785	38.2807	—	20.65
-3	30	29.9945	40.3159	37.6576	37.6574	—	25.76
-4	4E	29.1432	39.9189	37.3301	37.3338	—	24.01
-5	5E	29.0244	39.5616	37.4804	37.4827	—	19.74
Dup. -1	6A	28.9380	39.0042	36.1450	36.1478	—	28.39
Compost	Burst Guess	—	—	—	—	→	10.8
Date & Initials		3/10/00 XBS	3/10/00 XBS	3/13/00 XBS	3/13/00 XBS		3/13/00 XBS

COMMENTS: Control has no change in sim we all calculations.

$$\frac{(\text{Wet Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.}) - (\text{Dry Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.})}{(\text{Wet Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.})} \times 100 = \% \text{ moisture}$$

AR100535

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
 ESI #: 8505

SOIL DRYING PROCESS

Sample I.D.	Beaker I.D.	Dry Weight #1 (g)	Dry Weight #2 (g)	Dry Weight #3 (g)
Control	15	73.279 8 73.2802	73.2798 73.2802	—
-1	327	65.228	65.242	—
-2	353	59.525	59.529	—
-3	32	70.757	70.754	—
-4	112	62.1706	62.167	—
-5	192	68.936	68.919	—
Date & Initials	2/16/00 XKB	2/16/00 XKB	2/16/00 XKB	—

COMMENTS: _____

PERCENT MOISTURE

Sample I.D.	Beaker I.D.	Beaker Wt. (g)	Soil Wet Wt.(g)	Dry Wt. #1 (g)	Dry Wt. #2 (g)	Dry Wt. #3 (g)	% Moisture
Control	6A	23.9377	38.9379	38.7476	38.7375	—	1.95 ←*
-1	10	28.791	38.3043	35.4448	35.4331	—	30.47
-2	1	28.6743	38.6527	36.3365	36.3279	—	23.26
-3	1E	29.1682	39.7200	36.9764	36.9693	—	26.03
-4	2C	28.4496	38.4455	36.2069	36.1970	—	22.44
-5	5E	29.0242	39.0821	37.0410	37.0321	—	20.34
Oup.-1	4E	29.1429	39.1690	36.0291	36.0180	—	31.37
Date & Initials	2/17/00 XKB	2/17/00 XKB	2/17/00 XKB	2/17/00 XKB	2/17/00 XKB	—	

COMMENTS: -1 Oup. 3, Difference = 1.46%

$$\frac{(\text{Wet Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.}) - (\text{Dry Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.})}{(\text{Wet Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.})} \times 100 = \% \text{ moisture}$$

* Calculated % moisture of control used in assay starting 3/16/00. Other % moistures re-calculated

AR100536

***Eisenia fetida* Bioaccumulation Assay**
 WATER HOLDING CAPACITY & REQUIRED SOIL HYDRATION

Control Organic Compost

SAMPLE ID	FUNNEL I.D.	Soil Dry Wt (g)	Wet FP + Funnel + (g)	Initial Wt (g)	Final Wt (g)	WHC mL/m ³	PHYD	WHC (mL/100g)	THW _s	%M _s	Water To Be Added:
OC031400	1	100g	33.1	133.1	213.6	80.5	0.75	NA	6.038	34.31	26 ml/g

PERCENT MOISTURE Calculation

Sample I.D.	Beaker I.D.	Beaker Wt. (g)	Soil Wet Wt.(g)	Dry Wt. #1 (g)	Dry Wt. #2 (g)	Dry Wt. #3 (g)	Moisture %
OC031400	354	54.5090	64.8813	61.2538	61.2542	—	34.31

AR100537

Eisenia foetida Acute Assay

STUDY NUMBER: 8505
 CLIENT: Roy F. Weston

DAY 0:

REP	CONTROL		8505-1		8505-2		8505-3		8505-4		8505-5	
	# Added	Weight (g)										
A	10	3.5	10	3.3	10	3.3	10	3.1	10	3.2	10	3.0
B	10	2.9	10	3.4	10	3.3	10	3.2	10	3.1	10	3.2
C	10	3.2	10	3.1	10	3.1	10	2.9	10	3.2	10	3.3
D	10	3.3	10	3.2	10	3.5	10	2.7	10	3.3	10	3.4
E	10	3.1	10	3.4	10	3.6	10	3.6	10	3.5	10	2.9
F	10	3.2	10	3.0	10	3.4	10	2.7	10	3.3	10	3.6
G	10	2.7	10	3.3	10	3.1	10	2.9	10	2.3	10	2.9
H	10	3.5	10	3.2	10	3.2	10	2.8	10	3.4	10	2.9
I	10	3.4	10	3.5	10	3.3	10	2.4	10	3.7	10	3.7
J	10	2.7	10	3.3	10	3.1	10	3.0	10	2.9	10	3.4
Initials	(initials)											
Date	1/21/91	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21	1/21

NOTES:

Final Check (Co & Control Scenarios)

AR 100538

Eisenia fetida Acute Assay

STUDY NUMBER: 8505
CLIENT: Roy F. Weston

DAY 14:

REP	CONTROL		8505-1		8505-2		8505-3		8505-4		8505-5	
	# Alive	Comments										
A	4		3		2		10		4		10	
B	8		4		3		10		3		9	
C	10		6		3		7		3		3	
D	5		6		3		10		7		7	
E	10		6		3		10		8		9	
F	10		6		3		7		5		10	
G	8		8		3		4		3		7	
H	9		7		3		5		1		6	
I	7		8		3		5		1		9	
J	6		7		3		5		6		8	
Initials	(KWD)											
Date	3/19/05		3/19		3/19		3/19		3/19		3/19	

- NOTES: ① - lethargic ② - odor
 ③ - soil very wet, sticky
 ④ - carcasses present
 ⑤ - seedlings present

AR100539

Eisenia foetida Bioaccumulation Assay

STUDY NUMBER: 85051

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston

DAY	TEMP °C	INIT.	GENERAL OBSERVATIONS
0	21	2L	Lights set for 24 hrs On; Squirrel Started.
1	21 ^{19.7} _{19.5}	2L	Squirrel reads 19.7 °C; Lights fine, No action in any rep.
2	21	CE	Squirrel reads 19.5 °C. Lights OK
3	~1	UL	Squirrel reads 19.5 °C, light OK, worms quiet
4	21	UL	Squirrel reads 19.5 °C, lights OK
5	21	UL	Squirrel reads 19.3 °C, lights/worms OK
6	21	KD	Squirrel reads 19.7 °C, worms + lights OK
7	21	UL	Squirrel reads 19.4 °C, worms+lights OK
8	21	KD	Squirrel reads 19.4 °C, worms + lights OK
9	21	CE	Squirrel reads 19.3 °C, worms + lights OK
10	21	UL	Squirrel reads 19.2 °C, worms+lights fine
11	21	KD	Squirrel reads 19.4 °C, lights + worms OK
12	21	BB	Squirrel reads 19.5 °C, lights + worms OK
13	21	KD	Squirrel reading 19.5 °C, light + worms OK
14	22	UL	Squirrel reading 19.6 °C, lights + worms OK.

Sediment 4 has many seedlings

AR100540

DOCUMENTATION FORM

STUDY No.: 8505 STUDY DIRECTOR: _____

PROJECT TITLE: RFW _____

GENERATED BY: Karen Bryan DATE: 2/22/00 _____

The following information is Miscellaneous Documentation
 A Deviation from the Protocol
 A Deviation from SOP _____

<u>Sample ID.</u>	<u>S: L Wt. (g)</u>	<u>Water Vol. Added</u>	<u>Actual</u>
Control	1062g	462ml	462 ml
-1	2202g	262ml	100 ml
-2	2190g	345ml	150ml
-3	2210g	Nedano Adj.	_____
-4	2210g	283ml	200ml
-5	2210g	545ml	475ml

Control 2nd Day 602g 262ml 262 ml

APPROVED BY: _____ DATE: _____

AR100541

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
 ESI #: 8505

SOIL DRYING PROCESS

Sample I.D.	Beaker I.D.	Dry Weight #1 (g)	Dry Weight #2 (g)	Dry Weight #3 (g)
Control	15	73.2798	73.2802 72.9136	—
-1	327	65.228	65.242	—
-2	353	59.525	59.529	—
-3	32	70.757	70.754	—
-4	112	62.1706	62.167	—
-5	192	68.436	68.419	—
Date & Initials	2/16/00 JRW	2/16/00 JRW	2/16/00 JRW	—

COMMENTS: _____

PERCENT MOISTURE

Sample I.D.	Beaker I.D.	Beaker Wt. (g)	Soil Wet Wt.(g)	Dry Wt. #1 (g)	Dry Wt. #2 (g)	Dry Wt. #3 (g)	% Moisture
Control	6A	23.9377	38.9379	38.7476	38.7375	—	1.95 ←*
-1	10	28.891	38.3043	35.4448	35.4331	—	30.47
-2	1	28.6743	38.6527	36.3365	36.3279	—	23.26
-3	1E	29.1682	39.7200	36.9764	36.9693	—	26.03
-4	2C	28.4496	38.4455	36.2069	36.1970	—	22.44
-5	5E	29.0242	39.0821	37.3410	37.0321	—	20.34
O.p. -1	4E	29.1429	39.1690	36.2291	36.0180	—	31.37
Date & Initials	2/17/00 JRW	2/17/00 JRW	2/17/00 JRW	2/22/00 XRS	2/22/00 XRS	—	

COMMENTS: -1 O.p. 3, difference = 1.468

$$\frac{(\text{Wet Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.}) - (\text{Dry Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.})}{(\text{Wet Wt.} - \text{Beaker Wt.})} \times 100 = \% \text{ moisture}$$

* Calculated % moisture of control used in assay starting 3/16/00. Other % moistures re-calculated.
 AR100542

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
ESI #: 8505

REQUIRED SOIL HYDRATION

Hydration Water To Be Added (mL/100g) = $\text{THW}_{ts} - \%M_{ts}$

$$\text{THW}_{ts} = \text{PHYD} * \text{WHC}_{ts}$$

THW_{ts} = Total Soil Hydration Water Desired in mL/100g
 PHYD = Proportion of Hydration Required (0.75)
 WHC_{ts} = Water Holding Capacity of the Test Soil in mL/100g
 $\%M_{ts}$ = %Moisture of the Test Soil (% Moisture)

SAMPLE I.D.	PHYD	WHC _{ts} (mL/100g)	THW _{ts}	%M _{ts}	Water To Be Added: THW _{ts} - %M _{ts} (mL/100g)
Control	0.75	60.6	45.45	1.95	43.50
-1	0.75	57.7	42.38	30.47	11.91
-2	0.75	52.0	39.00	23.26	15.74
-3	0.75	40.5	30.38	26.03	4.35 <i>No Adjust.</i>
-4	0.75	47.0	35.25	22.44	12.81
-5	0.75	60.0	45.00	20.34	24.66
one + initials	0.75	76.0	52.50	31.37	

NOTES: *all Dug. Results were Averaged since different samples were used for Dug. in separate individual tests.*

*2/21/00
7/6/00*

If <10 no Adjustment Needed.

LABORATORY SAMPLE RECEIVING LOG

1. ESI Sample Number 8505 -1
2. Sponsor Name and Address Roy F. Weston Inc. (RFW)
5 Underwood Court
Delran, New Jersey 08075-1229
3. Sponsor Sample Identification According to Chain of Custody "TS-SS-34"
4. Sample Container Label Data 2/14/00 1110
5. Date Received 2/15/00 6. Time Received 0930
7. Method of Shipment/ Pick Up From RFW
Via Fed. Ex
8. Description of Shipping / Packing Containers(s) 1 Sigel plastic bucket
(Number, Type, Size)
9. Description of Sample Container(s) same as above.
(Number, Type, Size)
10. Sample Storage Location and Required Storage Conditions Refrigerator "F"
Locked, 4°C & Dark
11. Signature L. Haubler Date 2/15/00
12. Notes _____

13. Date and Description of Final Sample Removal / Disposal _____

AR100544

LABORATORY SAMPLE RECEIVING LOG

1. ESI Sample Number 8505 -2
2. Sponsor Name and Address Roy F. Weston Inc. (RFW)
5 Underwood Court
Delran, New Jersey 08075-1229
3. Sponsor Sample Identification According to Chain of Custody " TS - SS - 35 "
4. Sample Container Label Data 2/14/00 1120
5. Data Received 2/15/00 6. Time Received 0930
7. Method of Shipment/ Pick Up From RFW
Via Fed. Ex.
8. Description of Shipping / Packing Containers(s) 5 gal plastic bucket (1)
(Number, Type, Size)
9. Description of Sample Container(s) same as above
(Number, Type, Size)
10. Sample Storage Location and Required Storage Conditions Refrigerator "F"
Locked, 4°C & Dark
11. Signature Lenna Haubke Date 02/15/00
12. Notes

13. Date and Description of Final Sample Removal / Disposal

AR 100545

CLIENT: Roy F. Weston
ESI #: 8505
DATE: 2/15/00
INITIALS: XEB

pH MEASUREMENTS

SAMPLE ID	Beaker ID	pH VALUES In SU at START
CONTROL - Artificial Soil	218	4.76
-1	26	7.87
-2	81	7.90
-3	92	7.93
-4	24	7.63
-5	60	3.83
-5 Duplicate	61	3.82

NOTES: -5 Needs to be brought up to 4 pH.
-5 pH 4.01 meter 50 probe 21 2/29/00 XEB.

AR100546

LABORATORY SAMPLE RECEIVING LOG

1. ESI Sample Number 8505 -3
2. Sponsor Name and Address Roy F. Weston Inc. (RFW)
5 Underwood Court
Delran, New Jersey 08075-1229
3. Sponsor Sample Identification According to Chain of Custody "TS-SS-36"
4. Sample Container Label Data 2/4/00 1130
5. Data Received 2/15/00 6. Time Received 0930
7. Method of Shipment/ Pick Up From RFW
Via Fed. Ex.
8. Description of Shipping / Packing Containers(s) 1 - Small plastic bucket
(Number, Type, Size)
9. Description of Sample Container(s) Same as above
(Number, Type, Size).
10. Sample Storage Location and Required Storage Conditions Refrigerator "F"
Locked, 4°C & Dark
11. Signature L. D. Hurlburt Date 2/15/00
12. Notes _____

13. Date and Description of Final Sample Removal / Disposal _____

AR100547

LABORATORY SAMPLE RECEIVING LOG

1. ESI Sample Number 8505-4
2. Sponsor Name and Address Roy F. Weston Inc. (RFW)
5 Underwood Court
Delran, New Jersey 08075-1229
3. Sponsor Sample Identification According to Chain of Custody "TS-SS-37"
4. Sample Container Label Data 2/14/00 1200
5. Data Received 2/15/00 6. Time Received 0930
7. Method of Shipment/ Pick Up From RFW
Via Fed Ex.
8. Description of Shipping / Packing Containers(s) 1 - 5 gal plastic bucket
(Number, Type, Size)
9. Description of Sample Container(s) same as above
(Number, Type, Size)
10. Sample Storage Location and Required Storage Conditions Refrigerator "F"
Locked, 4°C & Dark
11. Signature Lenny Brutto Date 2/15/00
12. Notes _____

13. Date and Description of Final Sample Removal / Disposal _____

AR100548

LABORATORY SAMPLE RECEIVING LOG

1. ESI Sample Number 8505 -5
2. Sponsor Name and Address Roy F. Weston Inc. (RFW)
5 Underwood Court
Delran, New Jersey 08075-1229
3. Sponsor Sample Identification According to Chain of Custody "TS-BG-04"
4. Sample Container Label Data 2/14/00 1145
5. Date Received 2/15/00 6. Time Received 0930
7. Method of Shipment/ Pick Up From RFW
Via Fed. Ex
8. Description of Shipping / Packing Containers(s) 5 gal plastic bucket -1
(Number, Type, Size)
9. Description of Sample Container(s) same as above
(Number, Type, Size)
10. Sample Storage Location and Required Storage Conditions Refrigerator "F"
Locked, 4°C & Dark
11. Signature L. Kunkel Date 2/15/00
12. Notes
13. Date and Description of Final Sample Removal / Disposal

AR100549

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Office of EnforcementREGC
841 Chestnut St.
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PROJ. NO.	PROJECT NAME	STATION LOCATION			NO. OF CON. CONTAINERS	REMARKS
STA. NO.	(2000)	DATE	TIME	GRAB		
SS-34	2/14	1100		TS-SS-34	1	
SS-35	2/14	1120		TS-SS-35	1	
SS-36	2/14	1130		TS-SS-36	1	
BC-04	2/14	1145		TS-BC-04	1	
SS-37	2/14	1200		TS-SS-37	1	

Relinquished by: (Signature) <i>Paulin. Davis</i>	Date / Time 2/14/00 1530	Received by: (Signature) <i>L.G. Johnson</i>	Date / Time 01/15/00 0830	Relinquished by: (Signature) <i>L.G. Johnson</i>	Date / Time 01/15/00	Received by: (Signature) <i>OGC</i>
Relinquished by: (Signature) <i>Paulin. Davis</i>	Date / Time 	Received by: (Signature) 	Date / Time 	Relinquished by: (Signature) <i>Paulin. Davis</i>	Date / Time 	Received by: (Signature)
Relinquished by: (Signature) <i>Paulin. Davis</i>	Date / Time 	Received for Laboratory by: (Signature), 	Date / Time 	Remarks FedEx 8/18 3 6601 1301		

ATTACHMENT 3
ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

ARI00551

Industrial Soil - U.S. EPA CLP
Inorganic Analysis Data Sheet

Site Name: 12th STREET LANDFILL

Sample ID: IS-SS-34

All units in mg/kg

CAS#	Compound	Results	Q	T	RBC	ERG	RBC Exceedance	ERG Exceedance
7429905	Aluminum	13900		N	200000	2000000	No	No
7440360	Antimony	2	L	N	82	8200	No	No
7440382	Arsenic	73.9		C	3.8	380	Yes	No
7440393	Barium	172		N	14000	1400000	No	No
7440417	Beryllium	0.68	B	N	410	41000	No	No
7440439	Cadmium	4		N	100	10000	No	No
7440702	Calcium	9700		E	NA	NA	No	No
7440473	Chromium	298		N	613.2	61320	No	No
7440484	Cobalt	62.3		N	12000	1200000	No	No
7440508	Copper	2990	J	N	8200	820000	No	No
7439896	Iron	61700		N	61000	6100000	Yes	No
7439921	Lead	7,350	J	C	400	NL	Yes	No
7439954	Magnesium	4320		E	NA	NA	No	No
7439965	Manganese	498	L	N	4088	408800	No	No
7439976	Mercury ¹	0.18		N	61	6100	No	No
7440020	Nickel	71.6	J	N	4100	410000	No	No
7440097	Potassium	1700	J	E	NA	NA	No	No
7782492	Selenium	9.6	J	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440224	Silver	1.1	B	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440235	Sodium	65.8	B	E	NA	NA	No	No
7440280	Thallium	11.3		N	14	1400	No	No
7440622	Vanadium	43.2		N	1400	140000	No	No
7440666	Zinc	1.800		N	61000	6100000	No	No
74908	Cyanide			N	4088	408800	No	No

Note: RBCs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-6} and a hazard quotient of 0.1 and are taken from the 12 April 1999 RBC table.

ERGs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-6} and a hazard quotient of 10.

Cancer risk applies to carcinogens and hazard quotient applies to non-carcinogens.

¹ The mercury RBC and ERG are taken from the October 1997 RBC table since the 12 April 1999 table does not provide values.

AR100552

Industrial Soil - U.S. EPA CLP
Inorganic Analysis Data Sheet

Site Name: 12th STREET LANDFILL

Sample ID: TS-SS-35

All units in mg/kg

CAS#	Compound	Results	Q	T	RBC	ERG	RBC Exceedance	ERG Exceedance
7429905	Aluminum	12400		N	200000	20000000	No	No
7440360	Antimony	2.8	L	N	82	8200	No	No
7440382	Arsenic	114		C	3.8	380	Yes	No
7440393	Barium	279		N	14000	1400000	No	No
7440417	Beryllium	0.5	B	N	410	41000	No	No
7440439	Cadmium	6.3		N	100	10000	No	No
7440702	Calcium	13100		E	NA	NA	No	No
7440473	Chromium	289		N	613.2	61320	No	No
7440484	Cobalt	72.1		N	12000	1200000	No	No
7440508	Copper	405	J	N	8200	820000	No	No
7439896	Iron	75000		N	61000	6100000	Yes	No
7439921	Lead	13,400	J	C	400	NL	Yes	No
7439954	Magnesium	3350		E	NA	NA	No	No
7439965	Manganese	464	L	N	4088	408800	No	No
7439976	Mercury ¹	0.41		N	61	6100	No	No
7440020	Nickel	89.9	J	N	4100	410000	No	No
7440097	Potassium	1140	J	E	NA	NA	No	No
7782492	Selenium	6.1	J	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440224	Silver	1.7	B	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440235	Sodium	38.4	U	E	NA	NA	No	No
7440280	Thallium	13.1		N	14	1400	No	No
7440622	Vanadium	38.1		N	1400	140000	No	No
7440666	Zinc	3,490		N	61000	6100000	No	No
74908	Cyanide			N	4088	408800	No	No

Note: RBCs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-6} and a hazard quotient of 0.1 and are taken from the 12 April 1999 RBC table.

ERGs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-6} and a hazard quotient of 10.

Cancer risk applies to carcinogens and hazard quotient applies to non-carcinogens.

¹ The mercury RBC and ERG are taken from the October 1997 RBC table since the 12 April 1999 table does not provide values.

AR100553

Industrial Soil - U.S. EPA CLP
Inorganic Analysis Data Sheet

Site Name: 12th STREET LANDFILL

Sample ID: TS-SS-36

All units in mg/kg

CAS#	Compound	Results	Q	T	RBC	ERG	RBC Exceedance	ERG Exceedance
7429905	Aluminum	10100		N	200000	2000000	No	No
7440360	Antimony	4.2	L	N	82	8200	No	No
7440382	Arsenic	18.9		C	3.8	380	Yes	No
7440393	Barium	150		N	14000	1400000	No	No
7440417	Beryllium	0.22	B	N	410	41000	No	No
7440439	Cadmium	2.9		N	100	10000	No	No
7440702	Calcium	10200		E	NA	NA	No	No
7440473	Chromium	121		N	613.2	61320	No	No
7440484	Cobalt	8.7	B	N	12000	1200000	No	No
7440508	Copper	1080	J	N	8200	820000	No	No
7439896	Iron	42100		N	61000	6100000	No	No
7439921	Lead	22.600	J	C	400	NL	Yes	No
7439954	Magnesium	2710		E	NA	NA	No	No
7439965	Manganese	561	L	N	4088	408800	No	No
7439976	Mercury ¹	0.12	K	N	61	6100	No	No
7440020	Nickel	83.6	J	N	4100	410000	No	No
7440097	Potassium	496	J	E	NA	NA	No	No
7782492	Selenium	0.58	R	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440224	Silver	0.41	B	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440235	Sodium	548	B	E	NA	NA	No	No
7440280	Thallium	8		N	14	1400	No	No
7440622	Vanadium	21.7		N	1400	140000	No	No
7440666	Zinc	1,730		N	61000	6100000	No	No
74908	Cyanide			N	4088	408800	No	No

Note: RBCs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-6} and a hazard quotient of 0.1 and are taken from the 12 April 1999 RBC table.

ERGs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-4} and a hazard quotient of 10.

Cancer risk applies to carcinogens and hazard quotient applies to non-carcinogens.

¹ The mercury RBC and ERG are taken from the October 1997 RBC table since the 12 April 1999 table does not provide values.

AR100554

Industrial Soil - U.S. EPA CLP
Inorganic Analysis Data Sheet

Site Name: 12th STREET LANDFILL

Sample ID: TS-SS-37

All units in mg/kg

CAS#	Compound	Results	Q	T	RBC	ERG	RBC Exceedance	ERG Exceedance
7429905	Aluminum	5830		N	200000	20000000	No	No
7440360	Antimony	0.71	L	N	82	8200	No	No
7440382	Arsenic	17.4		C	3.8	380	Yes	No
7440393	Barium	98		N	14000	1400000	No	No
7440417	Beryllium	0.43	B	N	410	41000	No	No
7440439	Cadmium	1	B	N	100	10000	No	No
7440702	Calcium	1990		E	NA	NA	No	No
7440473	Chromium	45.4		N	613.2	61320	No	No
7440484	Cobalt	16.8		N	12000	1200000	No	No
7440508	Copper	60.4	J	N	8200	820000	No	No
7439896	Iron	22100		N	61000	6100000	No	No
7439921	Lead	566	J	C	400	NL	Yes	No
7439954	Magnesium	1870		E	NA	NA	No	No
7439965	Manganese	185	L	N	4088	408800	No	No
7439976	Mercury ¹	0.09	K	N	61	6100	No	No
7440020	Nickel	15.6	J	N	4100	410000	No	No
7440097	Potassium	725	J	E	NA	NA	No	No
7782492	Selenium	1.4	J	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440224	Silver	0.17	B	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440235	Sodium	36.6	U	E	NA	NA	No	No
7440280	Thallium	4.6		N	14	1400	No	No
7440622	Vanadium	25.3		N	1400	140000	No	No
7440666	Zinc	551		N	61000	6100000	No	No
74908	Cyanide			N	4088	408800	No	No

Note: RBC's are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-6} and a hazard quotient of 0.1 and are taken from the 12 April 1999 RBC table.

ERGs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-4} and a hazard quotient of 10.

Cancer risk applies to carcinogens and hazard quotient applies to non-carcinogens.

The mercury RBC and ERG are taken from the October 1997 RBC table since the 12 April 1999 table does not provide values.

AR100555

Industrial Soil - U.S. EPA CLP
Inorganic Analysis Data Sheet

Site Name: 12th STREET LANDFILL

Sample ID: TS-BG-04

All units in mg/kg

CAS#	Compound	Results	Q	T	RBC	ERG	RBC Exceedance	ERG Exceedance
7429905	Aluminum	13500		N	200000	20000000	No	No
7440360	Antimony		UL	N	82	8200	No	No
7440382	Arsenic	13.8		C	3.8	380	Yes	No
7440393	Barium	73.2		N	14000	1400000	No	No
7440417	Beryllium	0.61	B	N	410	41000	No	No
7440439	Cadmium	0.44	B	N	100	10000	No	No
7440702	Calcium	1120	B	E	NA	NA	No	No
7440473	Chromium	49.6		N	613.2	61320	No	No
7440484	Cobalt	6.1	B	N	12000	1200000	No	No
7440508	Copper	28.7	J	N	8200	820000	No	No
7439896	Iron	23000		N	61000	6100000	No	No
7439921	Lead	29.2	J	C	400	NL	No	No
7439954	Magnesium	3770		E	NA	NA	No	No
7439965	Manganese	179	L	N	4088	408800	No	No
7439976	Mercury ¹	2		N	61	6100	No	No
7440020	Nickel	15.7	J	N	4100	410000	No	No
7440097	Potassium	1590	J	E	NA	NA	No	No
7782492	Selenium		R	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440224	Silver		U	N	1000	100000	No	No
7440235	Sodium	112	B	E	NA	NA	No	No
7440280	Thallium	4.7		N	14	1400	No	No
7440622	Vanadium	35.3		N	1400	140000	No	No
7440666	Zinc	109		N	61000	6100000	No	No
74908	Cyanide			N	4088	408800	No	No

Note: RBCs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-6} and a hazard quotient of 0.1 and are taken from the 12 April 1999 RBC table.

ERGs are based on a cancer risk of 10^{-4} and a hazard quotient of 10.

Cancer risk applies to carcinogens and hazard quotient applies to non-carcinogens.

¹ The mercury RBC and ERG are taken from the October 1997 RBC table since the 12 April 1999 table does not provide values.

AR100556